Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools Campus Name: PYBURN EL Campus ID: 101910109 District Name: GALENA PARK ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

										Two						
				African			Americar		Pacific							
STAAR Percent At or A				American Standard						Races	Ed	Disadv	ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Grade 3			-													
Reading 20	72% 74%	70% 74%	64% 69%	- *	64% 70%	*	*	-	-	- *	*	64% 64%	69% 68%	66% 70%	61% 69%	*
	74% 74%	76% 77%	75% 78%	- *	75% 77%	* *	* *	-	-	- *	*	76% 74%	72% 78%	72% 72%	77% 82%	* *
Grade 4																
	74% 71%	74% 65%	75% 84%	* 64%	74% 86%	*	*	-	-	-	* 55%	78% 81%	73% 88%	86% 89%	67% 77%	*
	72% 71%	77% 72%	78% 76%	* 45%	78% 82%	* *	*	-	-	-	* 45%	78% 73%	82% 80%	83% 75%	75% 77%	*
	68% 67%	71% 69%	74% 81%	* 45%	72% 86%	*	* *	-	-	-	* 45%	76% 78%	70% 92%	79% 88%	70% 72%	*
Grade 5	 															
	80% 83%	78% 82%	84% 89%	86% *	83% 88%	*	*	-	-	-	45% *	82% 86%	82% 85%	85% 85%	82% 93%	- *
Mathematics 20 20	85% 75%	87% 73%	89% 76%	71% *	90% 75%	* *	* *	-	-	-	45% *	88% 76%	94% 80%	91% 79%	87% 73%	- *
	73% 69%	78% 73%	90% 85%	100% *	89% 83%	*	*	-	-	-	55% *	90% 82%	88% 80%	89% 79%	92% 91%	- *
	74% 73%	73% 73%	79% 80%	87% 61%	78% 81%	95% 88%	75% 82%	-	-	- *	37% 36%	79% 78%	79% 81%	82% 80%	76% 80%	* 88%
5	72% 74%	69% 71%	74% 81%	90% 67%	73% 82%	88% 89%	* *	-	-	- *	35% 40%	75% 79%	74% 81%	79% 83%	69% 80%	*
	75% 73%	77% 74%	81% 77%	70% 56%	81% 78%	100% 78%	* *	-	-	- *	35% 30%	81% 75%	82% 79%	82% 76%	79% 77%	*
	68% 68%	68% 68%	74% 81%	* 45%	72% 86%	* *	* *	-	-	-	* 45%	76% 78%	70% 92%	79% 88%	70% 72%	* *
	77% 75%	82% 79%	90% 85%	100% *	89% 83%	*	*	-	-	-	55% *	90% 82%	88% 80%	89% 79%	92% 91%	- *
STAAR Percent at Final					0070							0270	0070	1070	0170	
	vernic															
,	42% 38%	37% 32%	40% 31%	23% 6%	41% 32%	36% 24%	25% 45%	-	-	- *	19% 17%	39% 27%	39% 28%	47% 32%	33% 29%	* 63%
	42% 40%	33% 31%	35% 32%	30% 11%	36% 33%	38% 33%	* *	-	-	- *	15% 17%	34% 26%	32% 27%	45% 34%	26% 29%	*
	40% 36%	38% 31%	39% 30%	20% 6%	40% 32%	38% 33%	*	-	-	- *	15% 13%	39% 27%	41% 30%	46% 31%	32% 30%	* *
	39% 31%	34% 26%	36% 32%	* 0%	39% 38%	*	*	-	-	-	* 36%	35% 27%	30% 40%	38% 38%	35% 23%	*
	44% 40%	44% 38%	61% 27%	29% *	63% 27%	*	*	-	-	-	45% *	60% 25%	56% 19%	60% 24%	62% 31%	- *

https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker?_service=marykay&year4=2015&year2=15&_... 1/31/2017

STAAR Percent at l			District C	ampus <i>i</i>	African America		nic Whit		erican Jian	Asian			Specia Ed		ELL	Female	Male I	Migrant
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		11% 9%	14% 9%	3% 0%	16% 10%			1% 1%	-	-	- *	4% 2%	14% 7%	12% 6%	18% 9%	11% 10%	* 0%
Reading	2016 2015		9% 9%	17% 12%	10% 0%	18% 13%			*	-	-	- *	4% 3%	16% 9%	12% 9%	22% 11%	11% 13%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		13% 10%	14% 9%	0% 0%	15% 9%			*	-	-	- *	0% 3%	13% 7%	14% 5%	18% 9%	11% 8%	*
Writing	2016 2015	14% 8%	9% 4%	11% 3%	* 0%	12% 4%			*	-	-	-	* 0%	11% 2%	6% 2%	18% 5%	7% 0%	*
Science	2016 2015		11% 9%	11% 8%	0% *	12% 9%			*	-	-	-	18% *	10% 7%	8% 3%	9% 6%	13% 11%	- *
STAAR Participatic	on (All C	Grades)																
All Tests		2016 2015		99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	100' 100'		- - 1				00% 00%	100% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%
Reading		2016 2015		99% 98%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	* 100	- % -	- - 1				00% 00%	100% 100%	99% 100%	* 100%
Mathematics		2016 2015		100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%		100% 100%	* 100	- % -	- - 1				00% 00%	100% 100%	99% 100%	* 100%
Writing		2016 2015		100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	* 100%	* 100	- % -	-	- - 1			00% 00%		100% 100%	* 100%
Science		2016 2015		100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%	* 100	- % -	-				00% 00%		100% 100%	- 100%
STAAR Participatio	on Resu	ilts by A	Assessn	nent Typ	be for St	udents	Served	in Spe	cial E	ducat	ion Sett	ings (A	II Grade	s)				
Reading Tests																		

Reading Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	98%	95%	93%	*	92%	-	*	-	-	-	93%	92%	93%	100%	90%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	13%	7%	14%	*	15%	-	*	-	-	-	14%	12%	14%	0%	19%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	73%	77%	68%	*	65%	-	*	-	-	-	68%	69%	57%	86%	62%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	10%	11%	*	12%	-	*	-	-	-	11%	12%	21%	14%	10%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	5%	7%	*	8%	-	*	-	-	-	7%	8%	7%	0%	10%	-
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants	2016	99%	98%	96%	*	96%	-	*	-	-	-	96%	96%	100%	100%	95%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2016	12%	7%	15%	*	16%	-	*	-	-	-	15%	12%	15%	0%	20%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2016	75%	80%	70%	*	68%	-	*	-	-	-	70%	72%	62%	86%	65%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	12%	11%	*	12%	-	*	-	-	-	11%	12%	23%	14%	10%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	2%	4%	*	4%	-	*	-	-	-	4%	4%	0%	0%	5%	-
				- / •													

'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

'- Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

															Percent of
								Two or			ELL				Eligible
		All	African		American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL	Total	Total	Measures
		Students	American Hisp	anic Whi	te Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	+	Met	Eligible	Met
1	Performance Status -	State													
															1

	All	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander				ELL (Current & Monitored)		Total Met	Total Eligible	Percent o Eligible Measures Met
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	<u> </u>	wiet	Lingibio	Mict
Reading	Y	0070	Y	0070	0070	0070	0070	0070	Y	0070	Y		4	4	100
Mathematics	Ý		Ý						Ý		Ý		4	4	100
Writing	Ý		Ý						Ý		Ý		4	4	100
0	Y		r Y						Y		Y		4	4	100
Science Social Studies	I		I						I		I		0	4	100
Total													16	16	100
erformance Status -	Federal														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N		N	n/a			
Mathematics	N		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N		N	n/a			
articipation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Y		Y						Y	Y		Y	5	5	100
Mathematics	Y		Y						Y	Y		Y	5	5	100
Total													10	10	100
Federal Graduation S Graduation Targe Met Reason Code *** Total		et. See Rea	son codes,	,									0 0	0 0	
District: Met Federal Reading	Limits on A	Iternative A	ssessment	S											
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number	n/a														
Proficient	11/4														
Total Federal	n/a														
Cap Limit	11/4														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number	n/a														
Proficient															
Total Federal	n/a														
ap Limit															
Total													~~		
Overall Total													26	26	100
Participation use * Federal Graduati				s ELL (Ever HS)										

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria. n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current
Performance Rates												
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	195	9	177	**	*	-	-	-	169	8	115	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	257	10	236	**	*	-	-	-	224	23	146	131
% at Level II Satisfactory	76%	90%	75%	88%	*	-	-	-	75%	35%	79%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	211	**	194	8	*	-	-	-	182	8	124	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	257	**	236	8	*	-	-	-	224	23	146	131
% at Level II Satisfactory	82%	70%	82%	100%	*	-	-	-	81%	35%	85%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	58	*	52	*	*	-	-	-	49	*	31	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	76	*	70	*	*	-	-	-	64	*	40	32
% at Level II Satisfactory	76%	*	74%	*	*	-	-	-	77%	*	78%	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	83	7	71	*	*	-	-	-	73	5	49	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	92	7	80	*	*	-	-	-	81	10	55	48
% at Level II Satisfactory	90%	100%	89%	*	*	-	-	-	90%	50%	89%	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests												-

								Two or			ELL	_
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessm	ents											
Number Participating	269	10	248	**	*	-	-	-	233	27	n/a	138
Total Students	270	10	249	**	*	-	-	-	234	28	n/a	138
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	-	-	-	100%	96%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asse	ssments											
Number Participating	268	10	247	**	*	-	-	-	232	26	n/a	137
Total Students	269	10	248	**	*	-	-	-	233	27	n/a	137
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	-	-	-	100%	96%	n/a	100%

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.
n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or				
	All Students	African American	Hisnanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current
Federal Graduation Rates	otudento	American	mopune	Winte	manan	Asiun	Islander	Nucco	Disuar			(ounon
4-year Longitudinal Cohort G	raduation Ra	te (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	15								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
I-year Longitudinal Cohort G	raduation Ra	te (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation	Rate (Gr 9-12): Class of 2	014									
Number Graduated	`-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
District: Met Federal Limits o	n Altornativo	Accoccmon	te									
Reading	Alternative	Assessmen	13									
Number Proficient	n/a											
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a											
Mathematics												
Number Proficient	n/a											
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a											
Indicates results are ma * When only one racial/et Indicates there are no s n/a Indicates the student or	nnic group is tudents in th	masked, the group.	ien the seco	ond smal	llest racial/et		ıp is maske	d (regardl	ess of size	e).		

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A No Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District Percent	State Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Bachelors	31.0	73.8%	71.4%	74.7%
Masters	10.0	23.8%	26.2%	23.6%
Doctorate	1.0	2.4%	1.4%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Tota
Total Number of Teachers		37	4	41
Total Number of Classes		37	4	41
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	37	4	41
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	C
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem	secondary
	(PK-6)	(7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	(
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	(
Non-renewable	0	(
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	C
District Teaching	0	C
Temporary	0	(
	0	

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number	r of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	37	4
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall

or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
		Limited English Proficient	92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	80
		Limited English Proficient	95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	90
1			

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment